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Introducing Your Presenter…

Christine Redovan, MBA
GME Consultant

• Seasoned Director of Medical Education and GME Operations

• Accreditation and Management success for both ACGME & AOA Programs

• ACGME-I Accreditation Expert

• Successful Continued Accreditation & New Start-Up Implementation

• Focused on continual readiness and offering timely and useful GME resources
ADS Update
ADS Update

• All Review Committees in NAS

• Pre-meeting decisions
  • Continued Accreditation
  • Continued Accreditation with areas for improvement
  • Review by review committee member
  • Site visit
  • Request for clarifying information
ADS Update

• Accreditation decisions – annual notification
  • Continued Accreditation
  • Continued Accreditation without outcomes
  • Continued Accreditation with warning
  • Probationary Accreditation
  • Withdrawal of Accreditation
  • Voluntary withdrawal of accreditation
• Areas for Improvement
  • Not a citation; identifies concerns raised by the annual data submission
  • Does not have to be linked to a requirement
  • Does not require a response (usually)
  • Wiped clean each year
  • Chosen from a pre-set menu
ADS Update

• Citations
  • May be issued without a site visit
  • Must be linked to a requirement
  • Must be reviewed as part of site visit
  • New, extended or resolved
• Citations prior to July 1, 2013 systematically removed if…
  • In continued accreditation for two years
    • Phase I – June 30, 2015
    • Phase II – June 30, 2016
    • Institutions expected in 2017
ADS Update

• ADS Calendar
  • Case Logs – June through July
  • ADS Update – June through October
  • Milestones – November through January; April through May
  • Resident Survey – January through April
  • Faculty Survey – January through April
ADS Update

• ADS Improvements
  • Enhanced submission interface
  • New menu’s and submenus
  • Survey passwords randomly assigned
  • Passwords are case sensitive
  • Up to 2 coordinator log-ins (full access less ability to submit application)
  • Beta testing institutional coordinator log-in
  • Single log-in for multiple programs
  • New ADS phone number: 312-755-7474
ADS Update

• RC data importance?
  • Surgery – case log and board scores
  • General – resident survey, clinical information, board scores

• Two reporting dates…
  • Non-surgical program information pulled Sept. 1
  • Surgical program information pulled Oct. 1
Self-Study Update
• Phase I Program Pilot Self-Study Visit
  • All 7 specialties & cores; > 180 visits
  • 1-3 months after submission
  • Brief visit, approximately 4-hours
  • Requires minimal data; discussion based
  • Strengths and areas for improvement shared verbally
  • Look at what will take program to the next level
  • Written report does NOT go to review committee
  • Not punitive
  • Aim is important
  • Faculty development, SWOT & Stakeholder engagement are key
Self-Study Update

• Self-study pilot findings…
  • Majority of programs high performing
  • High performing core ≠ high performing subspecialty
    • Viewed as step-child
    • Collaboration reduced disparity
    • Resulted in abbreviated subspecialty self-study summary
  • Each program is different
  • Opportunities & threats are a struggle
  • APE is critical
Self-Study Update

• A few themes from self-study pilot
  • Mounting clinical pressures
  • Shift of GMEC control
  • Tension between health system needs and learner desires
  • Value of longer training periods
  • Rethinking what a specialty does and how it interfaces with patients and others
Self-Study Update

• What’s next?
  • Analyze leading and lagging indicators related to timing of accreditation site visit following self-study
  • Phase II programs volunteer if have self-study between April 2016 – July 2017
  • Development of assessment tool for program improvement
    • Feedback on feedback
    • Useful for program self-assessment
    • Based on Malcom Baldrige Quality Award
    • Program improvement as a developmental process
Selected Resources


• Baldrige Performance Excellence Program. Available at http://www.nist.gov/baldrige/
MILESTONESS 1.0: Looking Back, Moving Forward
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Heather Peters, M.Ed, Ph.D

- DIO/Director of Medical Education
- Seasoned speaker at ACGME & sub-specialty national meetings
- Areas of Expertise: Institutional and Program accreditation; GME strategic planning
- 3 decades in education; Masters of Education in curriculum & evaluation, PhD in secondary education & adult learning theories
What are the we trying to achieve with the milestones?

PUBLIC TRUST
## GENERIC MILESTONES TEMPLATE

### Milestone Description: Template

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level 1</th>
<th>Level 2</th>
<th>Level 3</th>
<th>Level 4</th>
<th>Level 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>What are the expectations for a beginning resident?</td>
<td>What are the milestones for a resident who has advanced over entry but is performing at a lower level than expected at mid-residency?</td>
<td>What are the key developmental milestones mid-residency?</td>
<td>What does a graduating resident look like?</td>
<td>Stretch Goals – Exceeds expectations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>What should they be able to do well in the realm of the specialty at this point?</td>
<td>What additional knowledge, skills and attitudes have they obtained?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Are they ready for certification?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comments:**
Quite a bit of variation in the attainment of competencies may reflect:

- Residents’ underlying ability
- Variation in exposure to certain sub-competencies
- Incomplete specification of underlying construct
- Quality of assessment tools/processes
- Rater factors
- Curriculum factors/resources
Assessment changes

- Increased diversity in assessment decisions
  - Increased input from a number of sources
- Change in the remediation process
  - Catching struggling residents earlier
  - Targeted improvements for individual learners
  - Identifying holes in otherwise high performers
Structuring of feedback

• More organized, formalized feedback
• Tailoring of feedback to the individual learner
• Discussion is more important than the scores
Curriculum development

- Identifying curricular gaps
- New emphasis on resident development
  - Increased didactics
  - More direct resident teaching
  - Resident focused conferences
  - Better utilization of grand rounds
Introduction and implementation processes

Milestones language and structure

• Unintended consequences in perceived progression
  • Frustration with seemingly unachievable milestones
  • Residents feeling “stuck” on certain levels

Receptivity to culture change

• New emphasis on non-PC and non-MK skills
  • Varied receptivity to “softer” competencies
  • “Necessary evil” to demonstrate achievement of objective educational outcomes
TAKE HOMES

• Milestone data will **NOT** be used for program accreditation
  • *High stakes—do not want to see programs alter data*

• While **MILESTONES 2.0** is still a **couple of years off**…
  • *The sub-competencies of PROF, ICS, PBL&I, and SBP will be shared across specialties*
  • *More specificity for the PC & MK milestones for each specialty*
Introducing Your Presenter…

Candace DeMaris, MAIS
GME Consultant

- Over 30 years experience in graduate and undergraduate medical education at academic medical centers and community-based-teaching hospitals, including 16 years as DIO in a multi-state integrated health system. She brings detailed knowledge of ACGME program and institutional requirements for accreditation -- and practical experience in integrating those requirements into resident education and GME operations. In addition,
- Candace has unique expertise in starting new teaching hospitals, GME Consortia, and new residency programs and has assisted many sponsoring institutions and programs with feasibility assessments, financial analysis, and initial accreditation.
Institutional Accreditation in NAS

• Annual accreditation decisions based on institutional data
• Self study and 10-year accreditation site visit
• CLER visits to improve Clinical Learning Environment – but does not impact accreditation decisions
Accreditation Decisions

• Continued Accreditation
  • Continue oversight through AIR, Special Review, GMEC minutes, locally defined oversight mechanisms
  • IRC is aware of data management challenges – further discussion in 2016

• Continued Accreditation with Warning
  • No subject to appeal process
  • Can change without a site visit at next annual review
  • SI should address citations and AFIs (and document in ADS Annual update) before the next annual review
  • Document corrective action and monitoring whenever possible

• Probationary Accreditation
  • Subject to appeal process
  • Automatic site visit within 1 year
  • SI should address citations and AFIs (and document in ADS Annual update) before the next annual review
  • Document corrective action and monitoring whenever possible

• Accreditation Withdrawn
  • Subject to appeal process
  • Failure to demonstrate substantial compliance with IRS, effective oversight, structure and support
2015-16 Annual Data Review

- SI Accreditation Status
- Unresolved complaints
- Referrals from specialty Review Committees
- DIO/CEO changes in the past year
- PD changes in the past year
- Institution-level 2014-15 resident survey results
- Performance of programs in aggregate
2015-16 Annual Data Review

Using...

- Sponsor History Summary
- Sponsor History Details with Citations and Complaints
- Institutional Data from ADS
- Summary of Program-Specific Citations
- Personnel Changes
- Institution-Level Resident/Fellow Survey
- Institution-Level Faculty Survey
- Annual Data Reporting Summary (compliance rate for programs)
- Most recent institutional LON
- Other correspondence from the IRC
- Site Visit Report with Addenda (if applicable)
- Other
Decisions made for 361 SI’s during the IRC April Meeting

- 342 SI’s demonstrate substantial compliance with IR’s – Consent Agenda
- Clarifying Information Requested – 7
- Site visit requested – 6
- Annual Accreditation Decisions made July 2015-Jan 2016 - 6
If you haven’t received a request for clarifying information or site visit, your SI is on the consent agenda in April!

and

All citations issued before July 1, 2013 will be resolved if SI has Continued Accreditation for 2 consecutive years!
Single Accreditation System

For SI’s

- Pre-Accreditation
- Continued Pre-Accreditation
- Initial Accreditation (27 as of January 2016)

- For Programs
  - Pre-Accreditation
  - Continued Pre-Accreditation
  - Initial Accreditation - Contingent
SI 2025

• 20 member task force of DIOs, CEOs, CMOs, CNO, residents, and public members
• Develop a future vision for accredited SI’s
• Spring/Summer 2016 listening sessions – let your voice be heard!
• Final report will guide accreditation and improvement processes

National Report of CLER Findings released in February 2016 and published in April 2016 JGME

4 Overarching Themes
  Patient Safety and Health Care Quality
  Integration of GME in Clinical Operations
  Faculty Engagement and Development
  Coordination of Educational Resources
Pursuing Excellence in Clinical Learning Environments
Pursuing Excellence in Clinical Learning Environments

• New models of integration between health care delivery systems and GME
• New 4 year initiative supported by 21 partnering organizations
• 3 Components
  • Pathway Innovators
    • Leadership commitment
    • Experience with systems-based approaches to improving performance
    • Experience with engaging inter-professional teams to address systems-based issue
  • Pathway Leaders - Selective focus on 1 of 6 CLER focus areas
  • Pathway Learners – Accelerate adoption of effort developed by Innovators and Learners by providing feedback on how to shape the innovation and learning so that it can be integrated across a large and variable set of Clinical Learning Environments
Pursuing Excellence in Clinical Learning Environments - Timeline

- RFP for Pathway Innovators released Feb 29, 2016
  - 8 SI’s with a clinical site
  - Up to $75,000 per site each of 4 years. Applicants must demonstrate matching funds > amount requested
  - Identify their current state of 4 overarching themes
  - Propose innovative approaches to develop and test
  - Propose a framework and measures for monitoring
  - Application available at https://acgme.wufoo.com/forms/r1nrkj3812bes9i/
- Awards announced July 2016
- Awards start September 2016
February 2017 – Launch of Leaders Collaborative

February 2018 – Launch of Learners Collaborative

February 2019 – Shared Learning Event at ACGME Annual Educational Conference
APE, APR, AP...What?!
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Tori Hanlon, MS, CHCP
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- Over 11 years working in Medical Education
- Bachelor’s in Health Services Administration
- Master’s in Health Administration and Policy
- Director of Medical Education and Designated Institutional Official
- Experienced in GME at a large academic medical center
Objectives

• To clarify the difference between the APE and the APR

• To understand the ACGME’s expectations of the APE as outlined in the CPR

• To examine additional APE elements and how they can be used to aide in institutional oversight
APE vs. APR

- The SAME thing!
- APR → APE
- APE more comprehensive and formal
- Only ONE required
- Tool for institutional oversight of programs
APE

• Evaluation of curriculum annually
  • Resident performance
  • Faculty development
  • Graduate performance
  • Program quality
    • Resident and faculty evaluations of program

• Previous year’s action plan
PEC

- Appointed by PD
- Minimum 2 faculty, 1 resident
- Written description of responsibilities
- PEC not just for APE
PEC Activities

• Plan, develop, implement, evaluate educational activities of program

• Review and make recommendations for competency-based curriculum goals and objectives

• Address areas of non-compliance with ACGME Requirements

• Review program annually using evaluations of faculty, residents and others
Effective APEs

- Provide program oversight
- Ensure adequate resources
- Maintain program accreditation
- Promote quality improvement
Institutional Oversight Requirements

• Accreditation status of programs
• Quality learning environment
• Quality educational experiences
• Programs’ annual evaluation & improvement activities
Additional APE Elements

**Web ADS**
- Program compliance and accuracy of information
- Update on rolling basis
- Major changes section

**Supervision**
- CLER focus area
- Working & learning environment
- Quality of care
- Patient safety
Additional APE Elements

Resources

• Financial

• Personnel

• Anything that can contribute to the ability to effectively carry out the residency program and compliance with the Requirements
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item #</th>
<th>Action Item</th>
<th>Action Steps</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Accountability</th>
<th>Target Completion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1     | Institute process to increase real-time monitoring of duty hours & violation reconciliation | 1. DIO, APD & Chief Resident meet to discuss roles in duty hour monitoring  
2. Revise duty hour monitoring process to include real time monitoring & process for reconciling violations  
3. Implement new process on 7/1/15  
4. Follow-up on new process at Aug 2015 GMEC | In Progress | Tori Hanlon (DIO)  
John Smith (APD)  
Laura Brown (Chief Resident) | 6/30/15          |
APE = APR
Upcoming Live Webinars

Self-Study: Who, What, Why & How?
Tuesday, April 12, 2016
12:00pm – 1:00pm EST

GME Check-up: Is Your GME Meeting Its New Responsibilities
Thursday, April 21, 2016
12:00pm – 1:00pm EST

A Proactive Approach to Supervising Residents Improves Patient Safety
Tuesday, May 3, 2016
12:00pm – 1:00pm EST

New Institutional Accreditation: Let’s Get Started!
Thursday, May 12, 2016
12:00pm – 1:00pm EST

On-Demand Webinars

Self-Study Visits

Introduction to GME for New Program Coordinators

Milestones & CCCs

GME Financing – The Basics

Single Accreditation System

The IOM Report

Institutional Requirements: What’s New?

www.PartnersInMedEd.com

Partners® Snippets

Contact us today to learn how our Educational Passports can save you time & money!
724-864-7320
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