



Bio-Preserved Cellular and Stem Cell Therapies for Stage IV Pressure Ulcer

Tommy Yu, M.D.

University of South Florida/James A. Haley Veterans' Hospital, Tampa, FL



Introduction

The patient is a 33 year-old male who sustained a C6 American Spinal Injury Impairment Scale (AIS) Class A traumatic spinal cord injury (SCI) and right brachial plexopathy secondary to a vehicle rollover crash. He sustained multi-level cervical spinal fractures, requiring C6-T1 laminectomies, C5-T1 fusions, C5-6 and T1-2 vertex hardware fixation. His comorbid injuries during acute hospital course included right pneumothorax, multiple wounds and fractures of extremities and face, and urinary tract infection (UTI). He underwent dobhoff placement via EGD, bronchoscopy, chest tube removal, and tracheostomy on ventilator. He was admitted to SCI center 45 days post-injury. He was noted to have a stage III pressure ulcer at the coccyx measuring 5cm X 2.6cm, yellowish slough present, with surrounding blanchable skin. Wound care team was consulted.

Progression of Sacro-Coccygeal Pressure Ulcer

Day 90: Prior to application of bio-preserved cellular therapies



Day 170: At the beginning of bio-preserved cellular therapies



Day 226: Upon completion of bio-preserved cellular therapies



This material is the result of work supported with resources and the use of facilities at the James A. Haley Veterans Hospital, Tampa Florida, Office of Research and Development Health Services Research and Development Service, and Rehabilitation Research and Development.

Rehabilitation Hospital Course, Workup, Medical Treatment

Date (Post-Injury)	Pressure Ulcer Management	Pressure Ulcer Measurements and Dimension & Remarks
Initial (SCI Rehab Admission 45-53	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Cleaning with normal saline daily and application of Santyl® to the area of slough. The wound was dressed with Xeroform® and covered by Mepilex®.Low air loss SPORT therapy bed, turning and repositionig with assistance q2h and prn	<ul style="list-style-type: none">5cm X 2.6cm, yellowish slough present, with surrounding blanchable skin
53-75	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Add MIST therapy 3x/weekROHO cushion was mapped and fitted for his wheelchairSharp debridement	<ul style="list-style-type: none">7 x 2.5 x 0.3cm, 90% pink and clean, 10% devitalized tissue
87	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Antibiotic including Vancomycin and Piperacillin/Tasobactam were started for suspected wound infection with osteomyelitis	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Fever, leukocytosis, tachycardia6 x 4 x 3cm with large amount of sanguinous drainage and deep tissue injury (DTI) to the distal wound baseStage IV sacro-coccygeal pressure ulcerCT scan of sacrum showed stage IV pressure ulceration extending down to bone with exposed bony spicules
94	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Surgical bone biopsy and debridementAntibiotic regimen was changed to Vancomycin & levofloxacin for a 6 weeks courseNegative pressure device was placed on the sacral pressure ulcer	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Bone biopsy culture confirmed E cloacae, E faecalis osteomyelitis.4.8 x 3 x 4cm with undermining and serous drainage
111-118	<ul style="list-style-type: none">OASIS® was applied, and re-applied 1 week later	
122-142	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Dressing was changed to Promogran and Aquacel Ag covered by MepilexBed rest , later discontinued for not improving with such measure	<ul style="list-style-type: none">4.5 x 3 x 2.9 cm with tunneling and less purulent discharge
170	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Clinitron bed and limit out of bed only to therapies	<ul style="list-style-type: none">The wound regressed with increased bone exposure and underminingPossible colostomy with wound vac placement vs skin graft was discussed.
188	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Grafix® core 2 x 3cm was applied to wound bed over exposed bone;1cc Grafix® prime injected into periwound tissue using sterile #22g needle and aseptic technique	<ul style="list-style-type: none">2.8 x 2.5 x 3 cm
209	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Epifix® was applied	<ul style="list-style-type: none">2.8 x 2 x 3 cm with undermining and palpable bone
226-242	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Negative pressure device was discontinuedThe wound bed was lined with Promogran, lightly pack with Aquacel Ag	<ul style="list-style-type: none">2.5 x 1 x 2.4cm with less undermining and mild serous discharge.2 x 1 x 1.5 cm, dimensions of undermining continued to decrease at the end

Discussion

With the advancement of preservation techniques, there is a surge of new bio-preserved cellular and stem cells therapy. However, no large-scale randomized controlled study was applied to pressure ulcers in SCI population. This case demonstrates the trial of a combination of multiple products to salvage a non-healing pressure ulcer that was on the verge of surgical treatment.

Grafix®: a human viable wound matrix (hVWM), designed to preserve the native components of the human placental membrane in a cryopreserved product. Grafix® is produced using aseptic cryopreservation which claims to prevent cell loss from dehydration and radiation^{1,2}. In a randomized single-blind study for diabetic foot ulcers, Grafix® was reported to reduce wound healing time comparing to control and to have a lower incidence of wound-related infections³. It was reported that Grafix® has 7.5 times increase in the angiogenic growth factor vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) as compared to dehydrated amniotic membrane⁴.

Epifix® is dehydrated human amnion/chorion membrane (dHACM) therapy. It processes a chorionic layer, which contains additional beneficial molecules including collagen, connective tissue, cytokines and growth factors, which facilitate wound healing^{5,6}. In a prospective, randomized, controlled study, Epifix® achieved complete wound closure and reduction in wound area in diabetic wound ulcer when compared to 2 control groups using standard of care and Apligraf®, a living, allogeneic bi-layered cultured skin substitute derived from human neonatal male foreskin tissue(7). Overall, Bio-preserved cellular and stem cell therapies aim at facilitating wound healing by their properties of anti-inflammatory, antioxidant, cell recruitment, and angiogenesis.

In this case, the patient's pressure ulcer site achieved significant reduction in size, clearance from osteomyelitis without recurrence s/p a course of antibiotics. Application of bio-preserved stem cell therapies were applied successfully in combination with negative pressure device and low frequency ultrasound therapy without side-effects. Regardless of the advance of wound care preparation, off-loading by pressure relief remains the single most important factor in wound healing.

Conclusion

It is a combination of pressure relief and wound care that facilitated healing of his pressure ulcer. No single product clearly achieved the reduction in size. Future randomized control trials of various bio-preserved cell therapies for pressure ulcers in spinal cord injury population are necessary to demonstrate their potential efficacy.