#### University Of South Florida

Faculty Forward at USF
The Importance of Leadership and
Ownership
Information Sharing and Request for Your
Input



The Alliance for Advancing the Academic Medicine Workplace



Faculty Town Hall
Meetings
Stephen K. Klasko, Dean
John Curran for SMT



# New Directions with New Dean The Beginning of a New "Business" Era

- History as a community medical school (1971 2004 10 Deans 5 external (incl founding) and 5 Internal)
- Community Hospital Need dominated
- 2004 New Dean VP New Ambitious Aspirational Goals
  - Achieve top 50 in Research Expenditures
  - Be recognized for national leadership in Education
  - Restructuring Basic Science Depts
  - Repackage Mission Based Management to AIMS
  - Develop Brand for the College of Med with Pub Hlth and Nursing
  - Reward entrepreneurial activity
  - Either achieve mutual efforts with hospitals or build one
  - Assist University in achieving AAU status
  - Unconventional efforts LVHN etc.

# **Environmental Pressures**

- Economic Conditions in medical schools
- Cultural transformations in workforce
  - Lifestyle
  - Time, Space, \$
  - Gender, Minority, Diversity
  - Organizational model could need evolution for our times
- Mission Pressures, Competition, Redefinition



- Dr. John Curran Committee Chair/Facilitator
- Dr. Rossitza Chichkova
- Dr. Javier Cuevas
- Dr. Robert Deschenes
- Dr. Patricia Emmanuel
- Dr. Huntington Potter
- Dr. Charles Preuss
- Dr. Lynn Ringenberg
- Dr. Frazier Stevenson
- Ms. Joann Strobbe
- Ms. Olga Joanow
- Ms. Diane Laya

-



### Faculty Forward 2009

- Dean Klasko supported USF involvement with Group 23
- Commitment to address identified issues
- Realization that could be multi year
- Active participation
  - Techniques used
  - Faculty Forward Steering Committee
  - Chair Presentation
- 65.4% Total response Basic > Clinical
- Results relative to peers All Faculty
  - 18 Areas of Strength
  - 76 Areas of Potential Challenge for Improvement



- Four Broad Themes
- Collegiality & Environment
- Communications/Opportunities for Input
- Compensation and Bonus issues
- Space & Facilities



#### **Collegiality and Academic Environment (ACE)**

	<b>3</b>		•		
	Statement	USF	Peer	Peer	Ntl
1		Avg	Avg	Rank	Rank
39D	My medical school is successful in retaining high quality faculty members	2.84	3.06	4	14
42D	I have the administrative support I need to do my job well.	2.87	3.23	5	22
44C	Opportunities for physician input in management decisions [BASE: Q43A = Yes]	2.96	3.12	5	21
34A	Criteria for promotion are consistently applied to faculty across comparable positions	3.00	3.11	4	19
42A	Faculty can express their opinions about the medical school without fear of retribution.	3.04	3.28	5	22
25B	Opportunities to collaborate with faculty in other schools/colleges in your university	3.10	3.31	5	21
	Opportunities to collaborate with faculty in other schools/colleges in your university [BASE: Q25A = Very important]	2.40	3.43	5	21
25A_B	important or Important] The workplace culture at this medical school cultivates: A	3.12	3.43	o O	21
21E	supportive climate for balancing work and home responsibility	3.13	3.26	4	20
13A_A		3.28	3.45	5	18
21D	The workplace culture at this medical school cultivates: Excellence	3.31	3.55	5	18
410.00				Making	Life Bette

TM



#### **Communication and Opportunities for Improvement**

Statement	USF Avg			
Communication to physician about this location's				
44D financial status	2.87	3.04	4	20
Communication between physicians and senior				
44F administrators	2.93	2.99	4	20



#### **Compensation and Benefits**

	Statement	USF Avg	Peer Avg	Peer Rank	NtI Rank
36B	Incentive compensation, such as bonuses	2.60	2.92	5	21
42B	This medical school does a good job explaining its overall finances to faculty.	2.71	2.98	4	17
36D	Your salary compared to colleagues with similar qualifications in other departments	2.77	2.96	5	19
36C	Your salary compared to colleagues with similar qualifications in your department	3.00	3.25	5	22



# HEALTH USF Faculty Forward Steering Committee Space and Finance

		USF Avg			
41K	The equity in distribution of research space among faculty	3.07	3.22	5	20

## Partial List of Stakeholders

- Board of Trustees
- University Leadership
- Dean
- Mission Deans
- Senior Management Team
- Department Chairs / Center Directors
- Faculty Governance (Faculty Council)
- Faculty at all levels
- Staff Employees
- Alumni Patients

# Further Thoughts

- Brain Storming vs Consultant Assistance
- Internal vs External Recommendations
- Changing culture and expectations, very dynamic field of change
- Solicitation of input from all stakeholders with prioritization developed by: ??
- Time Frame
- Resurvey 2.5 years proposed by AAMC



Thank you for your comments and participation

#### Visit:

http://www.hsc.usf.edu/medicine/
faculty\_council/index.htm for
access to the Executive Summary