

# Pregnancy-Associated Breast Cancer Patients Can Safely Undergo Lymphatic Mapping

Samira Y. Khera, MD,\* John V. Kiluk, MD,\* Danielle M. Hasson, BS,\* Tammi L. Meade, BS,\* Michael P. Meyers,\* Elisabeth L. Dupont MD,\* Claudia G. Berman, MD,<sup>†</sup> and Charles E. Cox, MD\*

*Departments of \*Surgery and <sup>†</sup>Nuclear Medicine, H. Lee Moffitt Cancer Center & Research Institute at the University of South Florida, Tampa, Florida*

■ **Abstract:** As more women put off pregnancy until their 30s and beyond, the possibility of pregnancy-associated breast cancer (PABC) will rise. Treatment options for patients with PABC need to consider possible harm to the fetus. The goal of this study is to review our institution's experience with sentinel lymph node (SLN) biopsies in patients with PABC. A prospectively accrued breast Institutional Review Board (IRB) approved data base was searched under separate IRB approval for cases of SLN biopsy in patients with PABC. Ten patients were identified between 1994 and 2006 out of 5,563 patients. A chart review was performed on all 10 patients. Ten patients with PABC and an average gestation age of 15.8 weeks underwent SLN biopsy. All patients successfully mapped. Positive SLN were identified in 5/10 patients (50%) while there was no evidence of metastases in 5/10 patients (50%). 9/10 (90%) of patients went on to deliver healthy children without any reported problems. One patient (10%) decided to terminate her pregnancy in the first trimester following surgery prior to the start of chemotherapy. SLN biopsy can safely be performed in patients with PABC with minimal risk to the fetus. By performing a SLN biopsy, a large proportion of patients with PABC may be spared the risk of a complete axillary lymph node dissection. ■

**Key Words:** breast cancer, lymphatic mapping, pregnancy, sentinel lymph node biopsy

Pregnancy-associated breast cancer (PABC) is diagnosed more frequently and is expected to become more common as women often delay bearing children into the fourth and fifth decades of their lives. PABC represents a small but complex group of patients requiring significant forethought regarding the trimester of pregnancy, fetal development, risk of miscarriage, and treatment plan as it may have profound effects on each of these considerations. Treatment options must consider possible harm to the fetus and safety for the mother. Documentation of the safe application of lymphatic mapping in PABC was the aim of this retrospective single institutional study.

## METHODS

An Institutional Review Board (IRB)-approved Health Insurance Portability Accountability Act compliant breast cancer data base and electronic health

record (IRB# 102554) was prospectively accrued on 5,563 patients undergoing SLN biopsy from 1994 to 2006. Under separate IRB approval (IRB# 14723), this data set was queried for all PABC patients in the Moffitt Cancer Center data base who underwent lymphatic mapping. Prior to surgery and injection with Tc-99m and/or isosulfan blue dye (Lymphazurin; US Surgical Corp, Norwalk, CT), all patients were separately consented for mapping injection with care in disclosure of the fact that the effects were unknown but thought to be safe to the mother and fetus of the doses and timing of the injection and use of these agents. The safety of administration of radioactive colloid was based on several factors. A small dose of 225–450  $\mu$ Ci was used (1/60th the dose for a normal bone scan) and the site of administration (breast) was physically removed with minimal calculated fetal exposure. The majority of Tc-99m was removed by surgery.

## RESULTS

Ten PABC patients aged 24–42 years underwent lymphatic mapping and sentinel lymph node (SLN)

Address correspondence and reprint requests to: Charles E. Cox, MD, H. Lee Moffitt Cancer Center, 12902 Magnolia Drive, Tampa, FL 33612, USA or e-mail: CoxCE@Moffitt.usf.edu.

biopsy (six with blue dye and Tc-99m, two with Tc-99m only, and two with blue dye only; see Table 1 for characteristics of each patient). The average gestational age of each baby was 15.8 weeks. All patients had successful mapping of a SLN. The average number of SLN excised was 4.3 with an average number of 0.8 positive SLN. Complete axillary lymph node dissection (CALND) was performed in three patients (30%) at the time of surgery. In two patients (25%), CALND was delayed until after chemotherapy completed. Five patients (50%) were spared an axillary dissection because the SLN was negative. Surgery included mastectomy 20% (2/10) or lumpectomy 80% (8/10). The average tumor size was 3.1 cm. Seven patients had an invasive ductal carcinoma and two patients had invasive lobular carcinoma. One patient, who was found to be pregnant on the day of surgery, underwent a prophylactic mastectomy with sentinel node biopsy at the time of a modified radical mastectomy on the opposite breast for a 2.5 cm invasive ductal cancer. Nine (90%) patients gave birth to healthy children and the one (10%) patient found to be pregnant at the day of her surgery terminated the pregnancy by her choice in the first trimester after surgery prior to starting chemotherapy. No problems have been reported in any of the delivered children. With an average follow-up of 1.82 years, three patients (30%) with PABC have passed away. There were no intra-operative complications such as allergic reactions to blue dye in any of these patients.

## DISCUSSION

The surgical management of PABC is a challenge. Breast cancer incidence in pregnancy is rare (1:3,000 to 1:10,000) (1). Most cancers (including breast, cervical, thyroid, and other malignancies) (38/100,000) are diagnosed in the postpartum period and fewer are diagnosed prenatally and at delivery (18/100,000 and 15/100,000) (2). Although the incidence of PABC is low, it may be increasing because of many more women delaying child-bearing until a later age (3). The impact of BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations upon the incidence of PABC is not clear. One small study showed that BRCA1 mutation carriers were more likely than BRCA2 mutation carriers to have PABC. However, since women who carry a BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutation are more likely to have breast cancer at a younger age, the association between these mutations and PABC may be coincidental (4).

Imaging of breast cancer diagnosed and treated during pregnancy has been studied and can be useful. A recent study showed that of 20 women who were imaged prior to surgery by mammography, findings were positive in 18 (90%), despite dense breast parenchymal patterns (Breast Imaging and Reporting System types 3 and 4). Ultrasound depicted a mass in all the 20 patients imaged with cancer (100%) (5).

Pathologic features of breast cancer diagnosed during pregnancy have been described. The majority of women have tumors that are diagnosed at a more advanced stage and have poor prognostic features. In one study of 39 patients with PABC, the mean age at presentation was 33 years and mean tumor size was 4.5 cm. Of these patients, 37 women had stage II, III, or IV disease. High-grade invasive ductal carcinoma was found in 32/38 (84%) of patients (6). Many tumors were estrogen and progesterone receptor negative (6,7). Her-2/neu expression was positive in 7/39 (18%) of patients and the proliferation rate as shown by Ki-67 staining was high in 60% of the cases (6). One study suggests that morphologic features might vary according to whether the patient is pregnant, lactating, or had recently terminated pregnancy or lactation (8).

Surgical management of the PABC patient can include mastectomy or breast conservation. However, the options must be tailored according to each individual patient's clinical presentation and proper consideration must be given to these options in order to avoid harm to the fetus. Mastectomy and complete axillary lymph node removal can be performed with minimal risk to the developing fetus or the continuation of the pregnancy and is the traditional treatment for PABC diagnosed during the first trimester (3). However, there is an increased risk of spontaneous abortions with general anesthesia in those in their first or second trimester of pregnancy (9). Breast conserving surgery in the PABC patient is technically possible but the radiation therapy required to complete local therapy is contraindicated during pregnancy because of the risk of harm to the fetus. Therefore, during the second or third trimester, breast conserving surgery may be performed and radiation therapy given during the postpartum period. Neo-adjuvant or adjuvant chemotherapy can be given during the second or third trimester with little risk to the fetus (3). This strategy has been employed in a number of patients in which an initial lumpectomy and SLN biopsy was performed and the patients then underwent their chemotherapy

**Table 1. Characteristics of Each Pregnant Patient Undergoing SLN Biopsy**

| Patient | Age | Weeks gestation | Operation                       | Method SLN         | Tumor type   | Tumor size (cm)       | Tumor Grade         | Number SLN | SLN (+) | Number total nodes (+) | Total nodes (+) | Follow-up (years) | Disease status     | Pregnancy status                                  | Notes   |
|---------|-----|-----------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|--------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------|---------|------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|--------------------|---|---|
| 1       | 42  | 20              | Lumpectomy, SLN, and CAND       | TC-99 and Blue Dye | Lobular      | 3.0                   | Unknown             | 4          | 3       | 14                     | 4               | 1.50              | Dead               | Delivered healthy child                           | Mastectomy performed secondary to inability to obtain negative margins          |
| 2       | 33  | 15              | Lumpectomy, SLN, and CAND       | Blue Dye only      | Ductal       | 3.0                   | 3                   | 4          | 1       | 12                     | 1               | 1.50              | Dead               | Delivered healthy child                           |   |
| 3       | 31  | 11              | Lumpectomy and SLN              | TC-99 only         | Lobular      | 1.7                   | 1                   | 2          | 0       | 2                      | 0               | 2.90              | Alive              | Delivered healthy child                           | Re-excision lumpectomy performed  |
| 4       | 24  | 5               | Lumpectomy and SLN              | TC-99 and Blue Dye | Ductal       | 2.0                   | 2                   | 3          | 0       | 3                      | 0               | 2.60              | Alive with disease | Terminated pregnancy after surgery prior to chemo |   |
| 5       | 27  | 19              | Mastectomy, SLN, and CAND       | TC-99 and Blue Dye | Ductal       | 8.2                   | 3                   | 10         | 2       | 21                     | 2               | 2.10              | Dead               | Delivered healthy child                           |   |
| 6       | 27  | 32              | Lumpectomy and SLN              | TC-99 and Blue Dye | Ductal       | 3.5                   | 3                   | 2          | 0       | 5                      | 0               | 1.40              | Alive              | Delivered healthy child                           |   |
| 7       | 39  | 16              | Lumpectomy and SLN              | TC-99 and Blue Dye | Ductal       | 2.9                   | 3                   | 6          | 1       | 6                      | 1               | 0.50              | Alive              | Delivered healthy child                           | CAND performed after chemo (0/14 nodes positive)                                |
| 8       | 37  | 28              | Lumpectomy and SLN              | TC-99 only         | Ductal       | 2.5                   | 2                   | 5          | 1 (+)   | 5                      | 1 (+)           | 0.48              | Alive              | Delivered healthy child                           | CAND planned for after chemo  |
| 9       | 33  | 4               | Prophylactic mastectomy and SLN | Blue Dye Only      | Prophylactic | 2.5 (opposite breast) | 3 (opposite breast) | 4          | 0       | 4                      | 0               | 2.81              | Alive              | Delivered healthy child                           | Modified radical mastectomy on opposite side for a 2.5 cm invasive ductal tumor |
| 10      | 33  | 8               | Lumpectomy and SLN              | TC-99 and Blue Dye | Ductal       | 1.6                   | 2                   | 3          | 0       | 3                      | 0               | 2.54              | Alive              | Delivered healthy child                           |   |
| AVG     | 33  | 15.8            |                                 |                    |              | 3.1                   | 2.4                 | 4.3        | 0.8     | 7.3                    | 0.8             | 1.82              |                    |   |   |

after which a period of time between chemotherapy and the initiation of radiation the patient was able to deliver the child; nurse the child with the initial colostrum and then begin radiation therapy. A recent article by Gentilini *et al.* included 38 PABC patients (21 had breast cancer diagnosed during pregnancy and 17 presented with breast cancer during lactation) with a mean tumor size of 2.4–2.5 cm. Six women were in their first trimester and preferred termination of their pregnancy although other options were discussed. Five patients received anthracyclin containing chemotherapy during their second and third trimester with no complications for the patient or fetus (7). Breast conserving surgery is a potentially suitable option for all PABC patients and should be discussed with the patient whenever possible. Conservative surgery was performed for 15 of 21 patients during pregnancy with no recurrence after a 24-month median follow-up. Three women underwent lymphatic mapping and SLN biopsy (7).

According to our data and others, about half of PABC patients will have node negative disease and, therefore, would benefit from the use of the minimally invasive technique of lymphatic mapping and SLN biopsy (7). SLN biopsy and lymphatic mapping can be safely performed in the pregnant woman with breast cancer. Tc-99m localization has been shown to have minimal radiation exposure and low risk to the fetus (10,11). One study of lymphoscintigraphy after Tc-99m peritumoral injection in 26 premenopausal nonpregnant women showed no radioactive tracer concentration except in the injection site and in the sentinel node (12). The immediate surgical resection of the breast tissue and lymph nodes removes the majority of Tc-99m making this procedure safe and unique in PABC women. An additional study estimated the fetal dose of 99m Tc-sulfur colloid to be 0.014 mGy or less with an 18.5 MBq injection the day before surgery. This level is far less than the National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements limit to pregnant women (13).

Isosulfan blue has a possible risk of an allergic/anaphylactic reaction, which can increase the risk of harm to the fetus. Although the incidence of severe anaphylactic reactions has been noted at or less than 1% (14–17), we believe that this risk should be avoided if the patient has good drainage on lymphoscintigraphy or as detected with the gamma probe at the time of the surgical procedure. Furthermore, there are some reports of possible skeletal or neurologic

defects in rat models (18). Isosulfan blue should be avoided in first trimester patients because of these concerns. For this reason, Tc-99m by itself may be safer than blue dye. However, isosulfan blue dye in the second and third trimesters was used without incident in the PABC patients in this series. Methylene blue has been used for sentinel node biopsies as an alternative to isosulfan blue with a lower anaphylactic reaction risk (14). Unfortunately, methylene blue is contraindicated in the pregnant patient because of known teratogenic and consequent effects of vascular accidents causing intestinal atresia. Historically, methylene blue was used by obstetricians to inject into the amniotic sac to detect rupture of membranes. However, there was a high incidence of jejunal atresia possibly due to the vasoconstrictive effects of methylene blue in blocking nitric oxide (19).

Our data produces a similar safety pattern to already published studies on SLN biopsies in PABC (20,21). For the nine women who carried their pregnancy forward, all delivered healthy children with no reported problems. The use 99m Tc-sulfur colloid and blue dye did not affect the decision of the one patient who decided upon abortion after surgery and prior to chemotherapy.

In conclusion, lymphatic mapping can be performed safely in PABC patients. Current consensus is that radiocolloid mapping is the preferred method to avoid fetal risk of dye exposure as isosulfan blue may have potential fetal effects in the first trimester and to avoid the potential for anaphylactic reactions in the mother further putting the fetus at risk. Our experience, however, emphasizes that the use of blue dye in selected patients without good radiocolloid mapping as determined intra-operatively may be safe in the second and third trimester with careful patient monitoring for dye reaction and fetal monitoring for overall safety during anesthesia. When compared with CALND, the SLN biopsy is a minimally invasive technique with less morbidity and can be performed under local anesthesia. SLN biopsy should be part of the standard surgical management of PABC.

## REFERENCES

1. Eedarapalli P, Jain S. Breast cancer in pregnancy. *J Obstet Gynaecol* 2006;26:1–4.
2. Smith LH, Dalrymple JL, Leiserowitz GS, Danielsen B, Gilbert WM. Obstetrical deliveries associated with maternal malignancy in California, 1992 through 1997. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2001;184:1504–13.

3. Keleher AJ, Theriault RL, Gwyn KM, *et al.* Multidisciplinary management of breast cancer concurrent with pregnancy. *J Am Coll Surg* 2002;194:54–64.
4. Johannsson O, Loman N, Borg A, Olsson H. Pregnancy-associated breast cancer in BRCA1 and BRCA2 germline mutation carriers. *Lancet* 1998;352:1359–60.
5. Yang WT, Dryden MJ, Gwyn K, Whitman GJ, Theriault R. Imaging of breast cancer diagnosed and treated with chemotherapy during pregnancy. *Radiology* 2006;239:52–60.
6. Middleton LP, Amin M, Gwyn K, Theriault R, Sahin A. Breast carcinoma in pregnant women: assessment of clinicopathologic and immunohistochemical features. *Cancer* 2003;98:1055–60.
7. Gentilini O, Masullo M, Rotmensz N, *et al.* Breast cancer diagnosed during pregnancy and lactation: biological features and treatment options. *Eur J Surg Oncol* 2005;31:232–6.
8. Shousha S. Breast carcinoma presenting during or shortly after pregnancy and lactation. *Arch Pathol Lab Med* 2000;124:1053–60.
9. Duncan PG, Pope WD, Cohen MM, Greer N. Fetal risk of anesthesia and surgery during pregnancy. *Anesthesiology* 1986;64:790–4.
10. Keleher A, Wendt R 3rd, Delpassand E, Stachowiak AM, Kuerer HM. The safety of lymphatic mapping in pregnant breast cancer patients using Tc-99 m sulfur colloid. *Breast J* 2004;10:492–5.
11. Morita ET, Chang J, Leong SP. Principles and controversies in lymphoscintigraphy with emphasis on breast cancer. *Surg Clin North Am* 2000;80:1721–39.
12. Gentilini O, Cremonesi M, Trifiro G, *et al.* Safety of sentinel node biopsy in pregnant patients with breast cancer. *Ann Oncol* 2004;15:1348–51.
13. Pandit-Taskar N, Dauer LT, Montgomery L, St. Germain J, Zanzonico PB, Divgi CR. Organ and fetal absorbed dose estimates from 99 mTc-sulfur colloid lymphoscintigraphy and sentinel node localization in breast cancer patients. *J Nucl Med* 2006;47:1202–8.
14. Thevarajah S, Huston TL, Simmons RM. A comparison of the adverse reactions associated with isosulfan blue versus methylene blue dye in sentinel lymph node biopsy for breast cancer. *Am J Surg* 2005;189:236–9.
15. Cox CE, Salud CJ, Harrinton MA. The role of selective sentinel lymph node dissection in breast cancer. *Surg Clin North Am* 2000;80:1759–77.
16. Montgomery LL, Thorne AC, Van Zee KJ, *et al.* Isosulfan blue dye reactions during sentinel lymph node mapping for breast cancer. *Anesth Analg* 2002;95:385–8.
17. Albo D, Wayne JD, Hunt KK, *et al.* Anaphylactic reactions to isosulfan blue dye during sentinel lymph node biopsy for breast cancer. *Am J Surg* 2001;182:393–8.
18. Uren R. Safety of lymphoscintigraphy and/or blue dye for SLN biopsy in pregnancy. Presented at the 5th Biennial International Sentinel Node Society Meeting; November 1–4, 2006; Rome, Italy.
19. Cragan JD. Teratogen update: methylene blue. *Teratology* 1999;60:42–8.
20. Mondini MM, Cuenca RE, Ollila DW, Stewart JH 4th, Levine EA. Sentinel lymph node biopsy during pregnancy: initial clinical experience. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2007;14:218–21.
21. Galimberti V. Sentinel node biopsy in pregnancy. Presented at the 5th Biennial International Sentinel Node Society Meeting; November 1–4, 2006; Rome, Italy.